CHATT, J., DUNCANSON, L. A. & VENANZI, L. M. (1955). J. Chem. Soc. p. 4456.

CHATT, J. & HART, F. A. (1953). J. Chem. Soc. p. 2363. CHATT, J. & HART, F. A. (1960). J. Chem. Soc. p. 2807. CHATT, J. & HART, F. A. (1961). J. Chem. Soc. p. 1416. CROMER, D. T. & WABER, J. T. (1965). Acta Cryst. 18, 104. DAVIES, G. R., MAIS, R. H. B. & OWSTON, P. G. (1967). J. Chem. Soc. A, p. 1750. MAIS, R. H. B., OWSTON, P. G. & WOOD, A. M. (1969). In preparation.

- Messmer, G. G., Amma, E. L. & Ibers, J. A. (1967). Inorg. Chem. 6, 725.
- MILBURN, G. W. H. & TRUTER, M. R. (1966). J. Chem. Soc. A, p. 1609.

ROLLETT, J. S. & SPARKS, R. A. (1960). Acta Cryst. 13, 273. VENANZI, L. M. (1968). Chemistry in Britain, 4, 162.

Acta Cryst. (1969). B25, 1766

The Crystal Structure of Iodinin

BY A.W. HANSON AND K. HUML*

Division of Pure Physics, National Research Council of Canada, Ottawa 7, Canada

(Received 3 October 1968)

A crystal-structure analysis of iodinin, $C_{12}H_8N_2O_4$, has been carried out at $-160^{\circ}C$ and at room temperature. The crystals are monoclinic, space group $P2_1/a$, with (at $-160^{\circ}C$) a=15.634, b=5.028, c=6.037 Å, $\beta=91.00^{\circ}$, Z=2. The structure was determined entirely by symbolic-addition methods and refined by block-diagonal least-squares to a final R index of 0.060. The structure consists of endless ribbons of nearly coplanar molecules, extended in the directions $\langle 011 \rangle$. Adjacent molecules in a ribbon are linked by the intermolecular branches of bifurcated hydrogen bonds. The binding force is sufficient to cause a non-bonded oxygen-oxygen contact of 2.591 Å.

Introduction

The X-ray crystal structure analysis of myxin (I) has revealed an unexpectedly short intermolecular distance, a phenomenon tentatively attributed to the formation of bifurcated hydrogen bonds (Hanson, 1968). The intermolecular branches of these bonds join adjacent molecules together as weak dimers (II) in which the innermost oxygen atoms, although not bonded to each other, are constrained to lie only 2.577 Å apart. (The dimerization must be described as 'weak' in recognition of the fact that it occurs for only one of the two independent pairs of molecules in the structure; for the other pair the reduction in energy offered by dimerization is presumably less than that realized by more efficient packing.)

* National Research Council of Canada Postdoctorate Fellow. Present address: UMCH CSAV, Petriny 1888, Praha 6, Czechoslovakia.



It was considered that the crystal structure of iodinin (III) might present a further example of intermolecular attraction by bifurcated hydrogen bonds. However, iodinin has the opportunity to form such bonds on both sides, and thus polymerization, rather than dimerization, is to be expected. The expectation is strengthened by the high density and sparing solubility of the material. The crystal structure analysis was undertaken in order to investigate this point and to provide data for the further study of the bifurcated hydrogen bond.





The first available sample of iodinin proved ultimately to be unsuitable. A single crystal was selected from it, and the structure analysis was carried out in routine fashion both at -160° C and at room temperature. At the conclusion, however, it became clear that the material was not pure, molecules of iodinin having been replaced in significant proportions by the related molecule IV, or V, or perhaps both. As the sample was of biosynthetic origin, it was not surprising that such impurities were present. Gerber & Lechevalier (1965) have shown that bacterial action can transform III to V, and V to III, with IV as an intermediate in each case. The ability of these impurities to form solid solutions with iodinin, and the sparing solubility of iodinin itself, presumably make their detection and removal rather difficult. The results of the investigation of this material are obviously of questionable value, and when the presence of the impurity was discovered attention was directed to procuring a sample of pure material. Such a sample was obtained from Hoffmann-Laroche Inc., through the courtesy of Dr W. Leimgruber. This material proved difficult to crystallize suitably, as only very thin plates of irregular outline could be grown. A marginally acceptable specimen was nevertheless selected, and the analysis was repeated both at -160° C and at room temperature.

Experimental

The crystal is monoclinic, and the space group is $P2_1/a$. (The systematic absences are found, from Weissenberg and precession photographs, to be *h0l* for *h*

odd; 0k0 for k odd.) The formula is $C_{12}H_8N_2O_4$ and the formula weight is 244.2. The density of the material indicates that Z=2; the molecule therefore makes use of a centre of symmetry. Further crystal data and summaries of the several crystal-structure analyses are given in Table 1.

The cell constants and the relative intensities were measured with a four-circle diffractometer equipped with a scintillation counter. Copper $K\alpha$ radiation was used, with a $K\beta$ filter and a pulse-height analyser. Intensities were measured with the θ - 2θ scan method. Absorption corrections were considered to be unnecessary and were not made. For the low-temperature studies the specimen was maintained at -160 °C by immersion in a stream of cold gaseous nitrogen which was itself surrounded by an envelope of dry nitrogen at room temperature.

The original sample consisted of deep red fragments of no obvious habit. The specimen used was of approximate dimensions $0.2 \times 0.2 \times 0.1$ mm. The second sample, of pure material, crystallized in extremely thin plates with principal faces {100} and no other obvious faces. The material was deep red by transmitted light, but the predominant faces displayed a pronounced metallic sheen when viewed by reflected light. The specimen used was approximately $0.1 \times 0.1 \times 0.02$ mm in size.

Structure determination

The structure was initially determined from the lowtemperature data set derived from the first (impure)

observed reflexions only)

 $\theta \leq 0.632$ $\sin \theta > 0.632$ 11 (Max. correction, 22% Disordered structure Room temperature SID N4:0 6.035 ± 0.010 $w_2 = 3.96 \sin^3 \theta$ for ſor 0-0022 0-030 $= w_1 w_2$ where Ġ Fo/4-0 for of F_o) ≡4•0/ 1087 894 13 $M_1 = 1$ 1 3 10 (Max. correction 20% of F_o) for sin $\theta > 0.632$ $\theta \le 0.632$ Disordered structure N 4:0 $w_2 = 3.96 \sin^3 \theta$ for sin o > 4·0 $5 \cdot 782 + 0 \cdot 01$ – 160°C 0-0013 0-023 0-051 1.71 474-0 E. $= w_1 w_2$ where G 5 **90**-86 Table 1. Summary of crystal data and refinement =4·0/ Ē 1053 930 -II " R £ 0 (strongest reflexion given zero weight) $= 12/F_o \text{ for } F_o > 12$ Room temperature $w = F_o/12$ for $F_o \le 12$ Ordered structure $5 \cdot 870 \pm 0 \cdot 010$ ± 0.03 0-0050 0-045 0-075 6-023±1 485-5 1.64 0.50 516 1081 for sin $\theta \le 0.707$ $=0.707/\sin \theta$ for $\sin \theta > 0.707$ 0 (strongest reflexion given $\overline{3.14}$ for $F_0 \leq 13.14$ Ordered structure $[3 \cdot 14/F_o \text{ for } F_o > 1$ 5.634 ± 0.01 -160°C 0-0025 1-71 zero weight) 0-035 474-5 6-037 91-00 $\sin \theta$ $= W_1 W_2$ Hydrogen atoms Final R index $(R = \Sigma ||F_0| - |F_0||/\Sigma |F_0|)$ $w_2 = 1.41$ 1051 682 34 ī ī W1 3 Reflexions corrected for extinction Non-hydrogen atoms weight Mean e.s.d. of coordinates Quantity (units) Reflexions given zero Accessible reflexions D_m (g.cm⁻ g.cm⁻³ Observed reflexions Weighting scheme V (Å3) a (Å)

sample. The solution was obtained entirely by symbolic addition procedures, using the Σ_2 relationship (Karle & Karle, 1966). The K curve, the E values and the listing of Σ_2 triplets were obtained with the aid of the programs of Hall (Ahmed, Hall, Pippy & Huber, 1966). The signs were generated manually. Eventually the signs of 112 reflexions were defined in terms of two symbols, so that four sets of signs had to be considered. As the structure cannot have significant electron density at a centre of symmetry, each set was first evaluated in terms of the density at the origin of the corresponding E map. One set was seen to have an unacceptably high origin peak (and was discarded for this reason), two had moderate peaks, and one had practically none. The complete E map was calculated first for the last set which, however, turned out to be wrong. (The map indicated clearly a plausible but completely fictitious organic molecule, quite unlike iodinin. Disinterested curiosity prompted an attempt to refine this obviously wrong structure. Reassuringly, no significant refinement was achieved.) The E map for one of the two remaining sets indicated a meaningless collection of peaks, but that of the other showed clearly all the non-hydrogen atoms in the structure.

Refinement was begun with Fourier syntheses and completed with block-diagonal least-squares analysis. The least-squares program used was that of Ahmed (Ahmed et al., 1966). The quantity minimized was $\Sigma w(F_o - F_c)^2$. The thermal motion of the hydrogen atoms (located initially in a difference map) was assumed to be isotropic and that of the other atoms anisotropic. The scattering-factor curves used were those of Hanson, Herman, Lea & Skillman (1964). Further details of this and subsequent refinement procedures are given in Table 1. Extinction corrections were applied to the most intense reflexions of the data sets from the impure material, as indicated. No such correction was applied to the data sets from the pure material, but the most intense reflexion in each set was assigned zero weight. Other reflexions given zero weight were of low intensity and, because of poor agreement with calculated values, were assumed to be in error. The various weighting schemes indicated were selected to remove strong dependence of $w(F_o - F_c)^2$ on F_o and $\sin \theta$ (Cruickshank, 1965). It has been the authors' experience that such dependence occurs with unit weights or with weights inversely proportional to the variances of F_0 . The reason, presumably, is that the residuals represent not only random errors in Fo, but also systematic errors in F_c , resulting from the use of an imperfect and oversimplified model. Such errors can be expected to be dependent on amplitude and Bragg angle.

When the refinement appeared to be complete a difference map was computed. A section of this map in the mean plane of the molecule is shown in Fig. 1. The peaks which occur at the mid points of all the bonds in the phenazine nucleus resemble those frequently observed in low-temperature studies of organic molecules, and presumably represent bonding

electrons. However, the large trough in the neighbourhood of the oxygen atom O(9) is a remarkable and unexpected feature. It represents a deficiency of perhaps one electron for this atom. The only reasonable explanation for the deficiency seems to be that the structure is disordered as suggested in the introduction. Some molecules of iodinin are replaced by the molecules IV or V, but the only obvious change in the average structure is the incomplete occupancy of the site of the oxygen atom involved. The refinement was continued with the occupation factor of this atom treated as a refinable parameter. From an initial value of 0.90 the factor rapidly converged to 0.864 ($\sigma = 0.007$). As might be expected, there were accompanying substantial changes in the thermal parameters of O(9), but changes in the other structural parameters were slight. Finally, a further cycle of refinement was initiated with the occupation factor for O(9) arbitrarily reduced to 0.80. The value resulting from this cycle was 0.863. It is concluded that the occupation factor indicated by the least-squares refinement may be treated with some confidence.

The structure corresponding to the set of data obtained at room temperature was refined in like manner, but with the occupation factor of O(9) fixed at 0.864.

While the progress of these refinements was satisfying from a methodological point of view, it was obvious that the results obtained for this disordered, average structure (specifically, the intermolecular distances involving oxygen atoms) were of little general interest. Attention was therefore directed to the determination of the structure of the pure material. The sets of data obtained from the very small specimen of this material were by no means as accurate or as complete as one might wish. Many reflexions were too weak to



Fig.1. A section, in the molecular plane, of the three-dimensional difference synthesis. [Disordered structure at -160 °C, before adjustment of occupation factor of O(9)]. Contours are at intervals of 0.1 e.Å⁻³, with the zero contour omitted. The shaded areas are negative regions bounded by the contour at -0.1 e.Å⁻³.

C(1) C(2) C(3)	$ \begin{array}{r} x \\ (\times 10^{5}) \\ -19307 \\ 18 \\ -18589 \\ -18589 \\ 17 \\ 17 \\ 17 \\ 17 \\ 12016 \end{array} $	E_i are th y $(\times 10^5)$ 35924 64 17536 17536 64 17540	$F = \exp \{-2\pi^2, e \text{ equivalent is} e \text{ equivalent is} z (\times 10^5) \\ 15765 \\ 48 \\ 33250 \\ 45 \\ 34406 \end{bmatrix}$	$(U_{11}a^{*2}h^{2}+2)$ iotropic <i>B</i> valt U_{11} $(Å^{2} \times 10^{4})$ 12 12 105 12 102 102	$U_{12}a^*b^*hk + ues in the di U_{12} (Å^2 \times 10^4) 26 -31 13 -60$	$2U_{13}a^{*}c^{*}hl$ rections of U_{13} $(Å^{2} \times 10^{4})$ -24 11 16 10 -17	$^{+}U_{22}b^{*2k^{2}+}$ the principal U_{22} $(Å^{2} \times 10^{4})$ 125 14 219 15 143	$-2U_{23}b^*c^*kl$ axes of the U_{23} $(Å^2 \times 10^4)$ -21 13 -27 13 13 16	+ $U_{33}C^{*2l^2}$ } vibration ellip U_{33} $(Å^2 \times 10^4)$ 14 151 13 130	soids. B_1 $(Å^2)$ 0.77 0.75 0.46	<i>B</i> ₂ (Ų) 1·08 1·12	
C(1)	- 19307 18	35924 64	15765 48	122 17	26 12	-24 11	125 14	-21 13	220 14	0.77	1.08	
C(2)	- 18589	17536	33250	105	-31 1	16	219	-27	151	0-75	1.12	
	17	66	45	12	13	10	15	13	13))	
C(3)	- 12016	-401	34406	102	- 60	- 17	143	13	130	0-46	0.96	
C(4)	- 5855	- 708	16940	112	45	ι 3	93 7	- 11	176	0.72	68-0	
	17	66	47	11	11	10	12	11	13			
N(5)	736	- 18469	16986	118	- 25	- 22	114	33	133	0.69	0.79	
	14	49	37	11	10	8	12	10	10	•		
C(6)	6703 17	- 18240 60	442 43	125 12	- 38 11	-13 10	97 14	- 17 11	146 13	0.51	1.18	
C(7)	13483	- 36690	1010	128	53	-48	130	-11	159	0-72	1.00	
O(8)	17 	61 17457	46 51609	12 130	12 32	10 33	13 179	12 42	13 172	0-88	1.05	
	12	43	32	9	9	7	11	9	6			
0(9)	1468	- 36188	32532	178	25	6	204	97	196	0-79	1.40	
	13	46	33	10	10	8	11	10	10			

Table 2(a). Final parameters and e.s.d.'s of non-hydrogen atoms $\pm(x,y,z; \frac{1}{2}+x, \frac{1}{2}-y,z)$

be observed, and of those observed many were close to the threshold intensity. Nevertheless, the refinements proceeded fairly smoothly. A tendency of the parameters to oscillate was checked at one point by the use of convergence acceleration factors of 0.5, but this practice was discontinued for the final cycles. In these refinements, as in the others summarized in Table 1, none of the shifts indicated in the final cycle exceeded $\frac{1}{3}$ of the corresponding estimated standard deviations. The final parameters for the low-temperature ordered structure are given in Table 2.

Table 2(b). Final parameters and e.s.d.'s of hydrogen atoms

	x	v	z	В
	(×10 ⁴)	(×104)	(×104)	(Ų)
H(1)	- 2439	4838	1444	0.4
	25	88	65	0.8
H(2)	2294	1811	4552	-1.4
.,	19	67	51	0.2
H(7)	1403	- 4692	1284	-1.5
.,	19	65	51	0.2
H(8)	- 740	-2688	4992	0.5
• •	25	85	63	0.8

Table 3. Observed and calculated structure factors $(\times 10)$

An asterisk following $10F_o$ indicates that the reflexion was too weak to be observed; the estimated threshold value is given instead. A minus sign preceding $10F_o$ indicates that the reflexion was omitted from the least squares analysis.

L FO FC	L FO FC	L FO FC	L FO FC	L FO FC	L FO FC	L FO FC	L FO FC	L FO FC	L FO FC	L FO FC	L FO FC
1 96 92 2 70 55	H= 1. X= 4	6 46 42 -1 428 9 -2 77 67	-2 230 21 H= 4, K= 0	6 117 -114 7 38 44 -1 93 101 -2 73 -62	0 166 -146 1 47 -54	H# 7. K# 4	0 63 -62	2 46 -44 3 162 167 4 109 105 5 68 -65	0 93 -91 1 41° 21 2 125 -131 3 43° 14	H= 13, K= 4	0 369 364
3 30* 39 4 37* 3 5 42* 16	1 53 -59 2 72 -78 3 95 -95	-3 139 -142 -4 58 -58 -5 64 67	0 132 -117 1 95 -90 2 349 -360	-3 -44 8 -4 127 124 -5 82 79	2 382 373 3 44 37 4 43* 38	1 43° 6 2 112 -107 3 48 47	3 34 -23 -1 142 136 -2 33* 10	6 70 -70 -1 103 -99 -2 176 176	4 41• 38 5 35• 12 6 25• -8	2 56 58 3 24* 31 -1 81 76	3 -38 22 4 72 -82 -1 171 167
6 472 475 7 36 39	4 91 98 5 34• 2 6 25• -28	-6 26* -5	3 112 -103 4 305 -300 5 79 84	-6 59 -48 -7 28* 2	5 42° -9 6 56 -59 ·-1 33° -7	4 35° -5 5 79 73 -1 108 114	-3 27 -37 H= 9, K= 1	-3 130 127 -4 42° -7 -5 62 62	-1 112 121 -2 97 -99 -3 43* -11	-2 30° 22 -3 47 44	-2 39* -37 -3 36* -7 -4 46 44
1 84 98	-2 186 185 -3 133 -132 -4 41 -28	0 103 -102 1 228 -228 2 40* 29	7 300 -8 -1 403 405 -2 655 -655	0 192 175 L 150 150	-3 89 88 -4 216 214 -5 42* -21	-3 41• 14 -4 95 -96 -5 27• -7	0 34* -22 1 67 -52 2 45 29	H= 10, X= 3	-4 123 -124 -5 36 40 -6 74 -74	0 55 54	H= 16, K= 1
3 61 -62 4 51 55 5 51 -45	-5 40 -37 -6 23* 7	3 86 -90 4 -32 -7 -1 42* 5	-3 58 -39 -4 126 -126 -5 43° -40	2 540 543 3 42 26 4 101 -94	-6 76 -73 -7 27e 23	H= 7+ K= 5	3 410 -27 4 430 41 5 82 -79	0 -47 72 1 43* 5 2 43* -39	H= 12, K= 1 0 61 61	2 43+ 37 3 52 53 4 36+ 40	1 40° -9 2 89 92 3 33° -12
7 32 20	0 277 284	-3 98 -96 -4 40 28	-7 106 111	6 51 51 7 60 76 -1 183 183	0 39 -40	0 38° 25 1 41 -49 2 35° 13 3 29° 5	-1 225 -230 -2 91 81	3 70 62 4 106 112 5 28* 16	1 65 60 2 202 -208 3 43° -4 4 242 -240	5 350 354 -1 490 493 -2 43° 10	4 24* 14 -1 40* 41 -2 38* -8
0 201 -214 1 34 46	2 40° -32 3 37° -11 4 40 29	H= 2, K= 6	0 152 -149 1 458 400	-2 59 -59 -3 88 -90 -4 360 376	2 158 -155 3 43* -31 4 43* 16	4 51 -44 -1 38• -57 -2 52 -58	-4 58 -43 -5 420 -13 -6 340 -17	-2 116 114 -3 102 92 -4 38* -8	5 100 -100 6 -28 -1 132 -141	-4 65 60 -5 67 -67	-4 69 66
3 36° 29 4 140 -142 5 43° 12	-2 40° 26 -3 37° -10 -4 30° -9	2 24• -10 -1 28• -38 -2 24• 8	3 120 118 4 43 32 5 43° -5	-5 61 55 -6 57 67 -7 37 47	6 36 -29 -1 300 -46 -2 410 -4	-3 30 -11 -4 23 19	H= 9, K= 2	-5 30* -11 H* 10, K= 4	-2 277 -280 -3 434 -1 -4 116 -118	H= 14, K= 1 0 434 30	0 -38 -21 1 -49 -27
6 66 -63 7 27• -23	H= 1, K= 6	H= 3, K= 1	6 77 -70 7 118 114 -1 106 -110	H= 5, K= 3 0 37• 30	-3 43° -3 -4 127 -125 -5 39° -55	0 60 69 -1 67 -77	1 39* 20 2 41* -4 3 292 -288	0 102 -110 1 107 105 2 39* -11	-6 54 60 H= 12, K= 2	2 43* -12 3 40* -41 4 35* -13	3 -44 -12 -1 370 1 -2 78 -69
1 35 32 2 109 -113	1 128 126 2 25• 14 -1 29• -4	1 762 775 2 159 148 3 265 -251	-2 131 126 -3 135 126 -4 122 116 -5 198 146	2 270 265 3 81 -83 4 43° 47	-6 288 -15	H= 2, K= 0	4 137 -136 5 38* -42 6 30* -41	3 124 126 4 151 144 -1 61 -79	0 100 -95	5 101 -98 -1 218 -227 -2 -51 -17	-3 30° 2 -4 62 -63
3 41* -22 4 8* 94 5 42* 16	-2 25* 17 H= 2, K= 0	4 39* 32 5 43* 30 6 41* 29	-6 41° 15 -7 35 -47	5 55 -73 6 -37 18 -1 102 -96	0 171 -174 1 43* -44 2 104 109	1 419 -419 2 107 109 3 39* 34	-2 173 -172 -3 231 -228 -4 43* -54	-3 86 83 -4 28• -21	3 42* -33 4 79 80 5 29* -18	-4 36° 15 -5 27° -21	0 42 38
H= 0, X= 4	0 500 523	-1 182 183 -2 -34 -1 -3 257 -253	H= 4, K= 2 0 126 -118 1 180 179	-2 40 10 -3 54 -46 -4 158 155 -5 64 -63	3 72 -76 4 370 22 5 280 4 -1 430 -1	4 43 0 5 43 -6 6 36 34 7 108 -113	-5 76 69 -6 117 -110	H* 10, K* 5	-1 43° -5 -2 120 117 -3 68 -69	H= 14, K= 2 0 43* -17	2 38 -36 -1 30° 8 -2 -40 20
0 141 -139 1 46 -31 2 77 -67	3 45 -41 4 47 53 5 175 -174	-4 394 22 -5 287 281 -6 414 -33	2 230 232 3 79 -74 4 117 116	-6 40 -45 H= 5, K= 4	-2 43* 41 -3 42* 14 -4 67 67	-1 77 -81 -2 74 63 -3 38• 2	0 57 -40	2 35 27 -1 30* -26 -2 27* 16	-5 79 -67 H= 12, K= 3	2 41° 6 .3 69 -63 4 30° 1	H= 17, K= 1
3 82 -80 4 58 -90 5 34* 7 6 55 -52	6 166 162 7 44 47 -1 291 -289 -2 35 -45	-7 39 53 H= 3, K= 2	5 123 -125 6 370 -44 7 89 92 -1 274 -270	0 112 -107 1 98 -94 2 226 -224	-5 29* -12 H* 6, K* 5	-4 117 120 -5 168 -174 -6 52 48	2 82 -85 3 344 347 4 40* 1	-3 88 -82 H= 11, K= 1	0 430 28	-1 50 -43 -2 41• -23 -3 48 -37	0 182 183 1 -36 19 2 103 -104
H* C, X* S	-3 56 62 -4 370 -13 -5 267 265	0 153 -154 1 193 185 2 32° -13	-2 139 141 -3 98 -101 -4 181 184	3 42• 27 4 52 41 5 48 -49	0 124 -121 1 -39 18 2 69 50	H= 8, K= 1	-1 43* 34 -2 58 46 -3 357 359	0 50 -39 1 74 74 2 109 -106	3 380 -23 4 310 5 -1 430 -7	-5 69 -65 H= 14, K= 3	-1 370 -29 -2 85 79 -3 290 -15
2 40° 31° 3 37° 25	-6 91 90 -7 33* 6	3 55 30 4 127 -126 5 124 136	-5 51 48 -6 51 -50 -7 51 -66	-1 43° -18 -2 43° 20 -3 43° 41 -4 218 -210	3 69 69 4 26 29 -1 78 88	0 197 201 1 226 217 2 51 48	-4 400 -48	3 55 37 4 219 218 5 37• 7	-2 -45 3 -3 390 -1 -4 330 -17	0 39• -20 1 38• -37	-4 101 -98 H= 17, K= 2
H= G, K= 6	0 270 287 1 958 1012	7 74 79 -1 278 279 -2 131 -138	H= 4, K= 3 0 -40 -57	-5 39 -45 H= 5, K= 5	-3 57 58 -4 28 30	4 64 57 5 202 -203 6 86 80	0'79 74	-1 40° 28 -2 243 247 -3 43° 52	H= 12, K= 4	3 36 49 4 25• -4 -1 38• -39	0 33° -39 1 46 -37 2 44 54
0 305 308 1 29+ 8 2 25+ 1	2 78 -85 3 324 309 4 51 -42 5 43* -30	-3 46 -39 -4 410 20 -5 430 36 -6 71 -66	1 76 -80 2 103 -101 3 42* 7 4 43* 50	0 410 -12	H= 6, K= 6 0 -37 12 1 279 6	-1 386 -385 -2 36* -18 -3 47 42 -6 43* -24	2 41* -15 3 153 152 4 71 73	-4 97 -105 -5 59 50 -6 47 -40	0 370 -28	-2 46 -41 -3 49 47 -4 -38 -10	3 33 -37 -1 39 -39 -2 42 -33
H= 1, X= 1 C 293 300	6 85 72 7 209 208 -1 62 -59	-7 25+ 24 H+ 3, K+ 3	5 44 -48 6 36 -49 -1 103 -104	3 39 -40 4 130 -122 -1 44 42	-1 33 ~35	-5 128 131 -6 55 50 -7 92 -97	-2 112 116 -3 83 91 -4 32• 10	H= 11, K= 2 0 230 -229	-1 87 91 -2 207 198 -3 37 -32	H= 14, K= 4 C 30* -10	H= 17, K= 3
1 115 114 2 169 -172 3 193 -194 4 81 82	-2 94 -105 -3 318 325 -4 78 -70 -5 305 306	0 35* 2 1 152 -160 2 49 -48	-2 70 60 -3 65 59 -4 43* -42 -5 40 -50	-2 166 -165 -3 45 -40 -4 26* 1	0 165 -154 1 113 -102 2 74 -72	H= 8, X= 2	-5 63 -72 H= 9, K= 5	1 42° 52 2 82 73 3 43° -9	-4 22* -14 H= 12, K= 5	1 28* -26 2 26* -21 -1 29* -28	0 73 -65
5 43* -4 6 129 122 7 32* 2	-6 79 88 -7 31* -21	3 104 109 4 43° -36 5 190 -196	-6 31+ -7 H= 4, x= 4	H= 5, K= 6	3 136 -141 4 63 -68 5 43* -16	1 37• 25 2 39• 43 3 271 265	0 57 47 1 147 -138 2 46 -32	5 44 38 -1 42* 50 -2 248 -251	0 25* -31 1 27* -14 -1 79 80	H= 15, K= 1	N= 18, X= 0
-1 168 -156 -2 175 173 -3 193 -192 -4 132 -131	0 59 -58	-1 425 -409 -2 38* 9 -3 41* 36	0 54 -62 1 247 253 2 203 202	1 26° -26 2 25° -29 -1 38 -41 -2 26° 14	7 25° -36 -1 162 -156 -2 -37 -9	4 55 -48 5 40 -39 6 30° 2 -1 37° -36	-1 33 -39 -2 30 25 -3 26 -20	-3 55 -54 -4 58 43 -5 34• 27 -6 105 -107	H= 13, K= 1	0 -47 24 1 57 -49 2 41 -37 1 -44 -31	D 58 51 1 75 -65 2 41 -42
-5 108 107 -6 42 39 -7 53 -59	2 100 -102 3 43 32 4 61 -59	-4 47 46 -5 132 -121 -6 32* -9	3 66 -70 4 50 58 5 53 -32	H= 6, K= 0	-3 103 -106 -4 46 -54 -5 43* -61	-2 39* -41 -3 286 286 -4 43* 4	H= 10, K= 0	H= 11, K= 3	1 111 107 2 115 -106 3 120 -120	4 30° -34 -1 42° 48 -2 41° -50	-1 66 -74 -2 59 53 -3 118 -111
H= 1, K= 2 0 208 -219	6 39• 3 7 49 40 -1 193 -199	H= 3, K= 4	-2 91 92 -3 77 -82 -4 158 152	1 71 69 2 86 -90 3 140 149	-7 72 -80	-6 32 -13	1 409 -406 2 170 -166 3 86 -78	1 43 -43 2 43• 33 3 40• -3	5 94 99 -1 181 183 -2 95 95	-3 38 -21 -4 38 -26 -5 37 -43	H= 18, K= 1
1 140 -144 2 195 189 3 142 137	-2 46 -37 -3 46 -36 -4 41* -6	1 234 -238 2 43* 8 3 64 -57	-5 166 158 H= 4, K= 5	4 332 -326 5 43* 2 6 47 35	0 114 100 1 149 -158	0 58 43 1 42• 34	4 73 -70 5 72 -58 6 132 129	4 44 -47 5 51 -61 -1 47 -55	-3 63 -76 -4 70 -76 -5 60 53	H= 15, K= 2 0 41+ 12	1 130 131 2 27• 22 3 77 79
5 43° -38 6 39° -14 7 72 -69	-6 394 -32 -7 64 -66	5 79 -71 -1 83 -68 -2 43• 34	0 41• 32 1 97 - 91 2 39• 27	-1 78 -57 -2 583 -556 -3 35* 29	3 410 -28 4 430 21 5 410 -16	3 77 80 4 55 56 5 34• 2	-1 30° 3 -2 93 -94 -3 75 -65 -4 101 -112	-3 63 -70 -4 80 71 -5 60 -57	H= 13, K= 2	2 137 139 3 174 -182 4 46 -53	-1 32° -11 -2 64 -70 -3 109 112
-1 27° 1 -2 422 -427 -3 167 173 -4 115 116	H= 2, K= 3	-3 43° -58 -4' 40° -17 -5 118 -111 -6 23° -21	3 38 -45 4 34 -34 -1 78 62 -2 58 -62	-4 40° -2 -5 64 -79 -6 132 128	6 44 52 -1 -47 -17 -2 93 95	6 240 11 -1 420 -25 -2 430 20	-5 159 -166 -6 52 44	H= 11, X= 4	1 67 72 2 43• -3 3 40• -35	-1 40° -32 -2 -52 -2 -3 185 -190	H= 18, K= 2
-5 43• 16 -6 96 -91 -7 27• 5	2 3d* 12 3 41* -30 4 -43 62	H= 3, K= 5	-3 44 -46 -4 37 -30	H= 6, K= 1	-4 101 102 -5 42* -16 -6 34* 30	-4 42 -21 -5 35 -8 -6 22 21	0 78 -58 1 94 90	1 39+ -26 2 37+ 15 3 32+ 3	5 80 79 -1 67 63 -2 64 60	H= 15, K= 3	2 40 -48 -1 57 -41 -2 64 -70
H= 1, K= , 3	5 -41 -18 6 33* 5 -1 48 -35 -2 76 87	0 42* -7 1 118 120 2 40* -50 3 86 -87	H= 4, X= 6	0 444 441 1 82 -74 2 35 26 3 99 -64	H= 7, K= 3	H= 8, K= 4	2 96 -95 3 43° 4 4 67 -67 5 30°	4 157 166 -1 399 1 -2 214 207	-3 58 -58 -4 36° 17 -5 26° 24	0 42 45 1 79 -78 2 31* 21	H= 19, K= 1
1 56 67 2 91 -92 3 191 189	-3 41• 3 -4 43• -9 -5 41• 20	4 28* -30 -1 41* 35 -2 53 -68	2 270 -25 -1 74 78 -2 117 -111	4 52 -51 5 109 -104 6 121 110	-1- 65 -62 2 371 376 3 359 365	1 43• 12 2 50 -35 3 39• -3	6 -29014 -1 38# 39 -2 122 -128	-4 240 -4 H= 11, X= 5	H= 13, K= 3 0 414 -48	-1 54 50 -2 50 -43 -3 27• -9	1 138 147 2 22° -12 -1 35 -29
4 211 -232 5 56 -48 6 33* -8 -1 77 -80	-6 39 44 H= 2, K= 4	-3 66 -72 -4 29* 3 H= 3, K= 6	H= 5, K= 1 0 317 -315	7 25° 16 -1 321 -328 -2 32° -7 -3 97 -90	4 50 -57 5 36* 19 6 43 39 -1 54 55	4 67 -68 5 59 -46 -1 72 -76 -2 50 -44	-3 76 79 -4 70 -58 -5 51 63 -6 49 -57	0 -28 8	1 254 -254 2 106 112 3 35• 13 4 29 33	H= 15, K= 4	-2 -27 39 H= 19, K= 2
-2 270 -271 -3 132 130 -4 43° -32	0 42* 14 1 150 147 2 54 -54	0 290 14	1 203 207 2 123 123 3 59 -55	-4 61 50 -5 70 -71 -6 147 142	-2 156 -156 -3 392 401 -4 224 224	-3 40* 24 -4 95 -99 -5 23* -3	H= 10, K= 2	-1 27* 13 -2 22* 18	-1 41* -41 -2 39* 3 -3 36* 1	1 41 -38 -1 25• 41 -2 22• -15	0 21 18 H= 20, K= 0
-6 33 29	3 108 -105	-1 48 -50	5 43 52	-7 74 -69	-6 25 4	H- A, K- 5	0 172 -169	H= 12, K= 0	-4 106 109	H= 16, K= 0	0 240 - 34

Thermal motion and correction of bond lengths

An analysis in terms of rigid-body modes, in the manner described by Cruickshank (1956), was attempted for the low-temperature ordered structure. For the 14atom phenazine nucleus only small librations, of maximum root mean square amplitude 1.7°, were indicated. Presumably because of experimental errors, or possibly the presence of non-rigid modes, a very small *negative* r.m.s. amplitude (about 1.3°) was indicated for one axis. It was concluded that rigid-body libration of the phenazine nucleus was too small to warrant any correction of bond lengths. An analysis including the oxygen atoms indicated librations of as much as 2.8° , demonstrating the occurrence of non-rigid motion (probably wagging) of the C-O and N-O bonds. These bond distances have therefore been corrected for riding motion (Busing & Levy, 1964). Where appropriate, intermolecular distances have been corrected, assuming that the thermal motions of the atoms concerned are uncorrelated. In some cases an upper limit (assuming correlated antiparallel displacements) is given also (Busing & Levy, 1964). [It must be emphasized that such procedures are only as meaningful as the unverifiable assumptions on which they are based. The intention here is to provide some idea of the uncertainties involved in the estimates of these distances, and to facilitate comparison with the structure of myxin, for which thermal motions of similar types and magnitudes were deduced, and corresponding 'corrections' applied (Hanson, 1968).]

Assessment of results

The agreement between observed and calculated structure amplitudes is reasonable for all analyses, and there can be no doubt as to the essential correctness of the proposed structures. Values of F_o and F_c for the lowtemperature ordered structure (henceforth considered to be the definitive structure) are given in Table 3. The corresponding data for the other three structures have been placed in the Depository for Unpublished Data, National Science Library, National Research Council of Canada, Ottawa. Canada, and copies are available to interested persons.

The final difference syntheses for the several analyses reveal no detail inconsistent with the proposed structures. For the disordered structures the residual features are physically reasonable (bonding electrons and the like). This claim cannot be made for the ordered structures (for which, however, the data sets are less complete and accurate). For the low-temperature ordered structure the residual electron density lies between the limits ± 0.25 e.Å⁻³.

The mean e.s.d.'s of atomic position have been listed in Table 1. These values are presumably inappropriate for the disordered structures (because a unique structure is not represented) and optimistic for the ordered structures (because the data sets are somewhat incomplete). It is therefore rather surprising to find that within the limits of accuracy implied by these values, the bond lengths found in the four structures agree very well. A comparison of bond lengths (generally uncorrected for thermal motion) is given in Table 4. Differences between values found for ordered and disordered structures at a given temperature are significant only for the N-O bond. Differences between values found for the same material at different temperatures (and thus presumably indicative of experimental error) are not significant. It is true that the difference becomes significant for the C-O bond in the ordered structure when reasonable corrections are made for thermal motion. However, the room-temperature ordered structure has been determined with only 45% of the accessible data, and the discrepancy, although apparently significant at the 0.1% level, should probably be discounted.

Discussion

Some details of the low-temperature ordered structure are illustrated in Figs.2,3, and 4. The bond lengths agree well with those found in other compounds (in-

Table 4. Comparison of bond lengths for the several structuresBond lengths given in parentheses have been corrected for riding motion.

. .

.....

			Bond lengt	th (e.s.d.) (A)			
		Ordered structure	Ordered structure	Disordered structure	Disordered structure		
Bo	ond	-160°C	Room temperature	-160°C	Room temperature		
C(1)	C(2)	1.406 (4)	1.394 (7)	1.406 (2)	1.402 (3)		
C(2)	C(3)	1.368 (4)	1.367 (7)	1.374 (2)	1.367 (3)		
C(3)	C(4)	1.441 (4)	1.431 (6)	1.440 (2)	1.437 (3)		
C(4)	N(5)	1.364 (4)	1.366 (6)	1.365 (2)	1.364 (3)		
N(5)	C(6)	1.379 (4)	1.375 (6)	1.376 (2)	1.373 (3)		
C(6)	C(7)	1.409 (4)	1.399 (7)	1.411 (2)	1.403 (3)		
C(7)	C(1)(1)	1.374 (4)	1.360 (6)	1.377 (2)	1.372 (3)		
C(4)	C(6)(1)	1.422 (4)	1.419 (7)	1.421 (2)	1.417 (3)		
C(3)	O(8)	1.348 (3)	1.360 (6)	1.342 (2)	1.343 (3)		
		(1.352)	(1.374)				
N(5)	O(9)	1.298 (3)	1.293 (5)	1.285 (2)	1.279 (3)		
		(1.306)	(1.315)				

cluding myxin) and with the exception of the N-O distance (to be discussed later) merit no further comment. The phenazine nucleus is nearly planar but the oxygen atoms are displaced slightly from the phenazine plane, in opposite directions as though to avoid too close contact. It is to be noted that the centre of symmetry at $0, -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$ lies only 0.04 Å from the phenazine plane. Adjacent molecules related by the centre are therefore practically coplanar, and the structure is thus seen to consist of endless ribbons extended in the directions



Fig. 2. Molecular geometry. (a) Numbering scheme and the distances of the atoms (in Å) from the mean plane of the phenazine nucleus. (Equation 0.5526x + 0.6490y + 0.5230z = 0, in the orthogonal coordinate system with $x||\mathbf{a}, y||\mathbf{b}, z||\mathbf{c}^*$.) (b) Bond lengths (e.s.d.'s) and angles.

 $\langle 011 \rangle$, as illustrated in Fig. 3. The predominance of the (100) plane in the crystal habit is now explained; it is the only plane which does not terminate a ribbon.

Although the mean separation between adjacent parallel ribbons is only 3.26 Å, inter-ribbon contacts are consistent with van der Waals interaction. Minimum inter-ribbon distances are: O-O, 3.26; N-O, 3.10; C-O, 3.16; C-N: 3.34; C-C, 3.53 Å. However, the distance between adjacent molecules in the same ribbon is unusually small and, as in the case of myxin, indicates the presence of some intermolecular binding force. Details of the intermolecular association are given in Fig. 4. It is suggested that the hydrogen atom H(8)joins O(8) not only to O(9) but also to O(9)(1). Thus H(8) participates in a bifurcated bond, with one intramolecular and one intermolecular branch. The combined pull of the two symmetry-related intermolecular branches forces the non-bonded atoms O(9) and O(9)(1) into unusual proximity. The situation is very similar to that found in myxin, but a comparison of corresponding distances suggests that in iodinin the intermolecular branch of the bifurcated bond has been strengthened at the expense of the intramolecular branch. Thus O(8)-O(9)(1) and H(8)-O(9)(1) are decreased, O(8)-O(9) and H(8)-O(9) are increased, and H(8)has moved to a position closer to the bisector of O(9)-O(8)-O(9)(1). In addition, the length of the N-O bond has decreased (to 1.306 Å). In the analysis of myxin it was found that the length of the N-O bond was 1.323 or 1.288 Å depending on whether the oxygen atom did or did not participate in hydrogen bonding. The increased length of the former bond was attributed

to the delocalizing effect of the nearby hydrogen atom on the lone-pair electrons of the oxygen atom, with a consequent reduction in the ionic character of the bond. The N-O distance in iodinin is thus consistent with a weakened intramolecular hydrogen bond. It may be argued that the concomitant strengthening of the intermolecular hydrogen bond would have a compensating effect on the N-O distance. However, at 2.973 Å the intermolecular bond is still rather weak, and perhaps has little influence on the charge distribution of O(9).

Comparison of the room-temperature with the lowtemperature structure reveals no important differences, although the intermolecular separation shows moderate temperature dependence. At room temperature the non-bonded O-O distance (corrected for thermal motion) is 2.648 Å (range 2.601 to 2.694 Å). The corresponding value at the lower temperature is, as we have seen, 2.591 Å (range 2.573 to 2.609 Å). (The lower limit of the range is taken to be the uncorrected distance, while the upper limit is the distance corrected for correlated antiparallel displacement. It is clear that the measure of the temperature dependence is itself critically dependent on the assumptions used in the corrections for thermal motion. It is probably true that absolute measurements of intermolecular distances, and discussion based on such measurements, are of limited value for work done at temperatures much above absolute zero.)

It is not surprising that the average intermolecular separation in the disordered structure is somewhat smaller. At the lower temperature the non-bonded O–O distance (uncorrected) is 2.535 Å, compared with 2.573 Å in the ordered structure. At room temperature



Fig. 3. The structure viewed along b.

the corresponding values are 2.576 and 2.601 Å. What is surprising is that the substitution of different molecules makes so little difference to the average structure. It is estimated that in the disordered structure about a quarter of all the intra-ribbon contacts have been grossly modified by the removal of one or more of the juxtaposed oxygen atoms, and yet the effect on the average structure is barely detectable.

The crystal structure of iodinin resembles very closely that found for anthrarufin (VI) (Hall & Nobbs, 1966; Guilhem, 1967a,b). The space group is the same, the cell constants nearly so, and each structure consists of ribbons extended along $\langle 011 \rangle$. In anthrarufin the intermolecular, intraribbon hydrogen-bonded O-O distance is 3.00 Å, and the non-bonded O-O distance 2.79 Å. In iodinin the corresponding distances (at room temperature, uncorrected for thermal motion) are 2.98 and 2.60 Å. The fit of adjacent coplanar molecules presumably results from equilibrium of attractive (hydrogen-bonding) and repulsive (steric) forces. As the lengths of the hydrogen bonds involved are nearly equal, it seems reasonable to assume that the attractive forces are equal also. If this is so, then the repulsive forces are equal despite the considerable difference in the non-bonded O-O distances. The implied difference in the effective packing radius must result from a difference in the electron distribution around the atom, and this in turn must be related to the electronegativity of the adjacent covalently-bonded atom, carbon for anthrarufin and nitrogen for iodinin.

The authors are deeply grateful to Dr F.R. Ahmed and Mrs M. E. Pippy for assistance with the computations, and to Dr W. Leimgruber, of Hoffmann-Laroche Inc., for the sample of pure iodinin.

References

- AHMED, F. R., HALL, S. R., PIPPY, M. E. & HUBER, C. P. (1966). NRC Crystallographic Programs for the IBM/360 System, World List of Crystallographic Programs. Second edition, appendix page 52.
- BUSING, W. R. & LEVY, H. A. (1964). Acta Cryst. 17, 142.
- CRUICKSHANK, D. W. J. (1956). Acta Cryst. 9, 754.
- CRUICKSHANK, D. W. J. (1965). In Computing Methods in Crystallography, p. 114. Oxford: Pergamon Press.
- GERBER, N. N. & LECHEVALIER, M. P. (1965). Biochemistry, 4, 176.
- GUILHEM, J. (1967a). Bull. Soc. chim. Fr. p. 1656.
- GUILHEM, J. (1967b). Acta Cryst. 23, 330.
- HALL, D. & NOBBS, C. L. (1966). Acta Cryst. 21, 927.
- HANSON, A. W. (1968). Acta Cryst. B24, 1084.



- Fig.4. Details of the intermolecular contacts. Distances given in parentheses have been corrected for thermal motion assuming riding motion for bond distances, and uncorrelated motion for intermolecular distances. (a) Iodinin, (b) myxin.
- HANSON, H. P., HERMAN, F., LEA, J. D. & SKILLMAN, S. (1964). Acta Cryst. 17, 1040.
- KARLE, J. & KARLE, I. L. (1966). Acta Cryst. 21, 849.